

CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ LLP

South Tower, Suite 3150
 355 South Grand Avenue
 Los Angeles, California 90071-1560
www.cblh.com

RECEIVED
 CENTRAL FAX CENTER

JUN 1 2007

FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

DATE: June 1, 2007

MATTER NUMBER:

70049-00002

RECIPIENT(S):	FAX No.:	PHONE No.:
Examiner Ramsey Refai Group Art Unit 2143 U.S. Patent & Trademark Office	(571) 273-8300	

FROM: John D. McConaghy

USER ID:

PHONE:

FAX: (571) 273-8300

RE: U.S. S.N. 10/017,923 – October 30, 2001

AGENDA FOR APPLICANT INITIATED INTERVIEW

NUMBER OF PAGES WITH COVER PAGE: 4

Message:**CAUTION - CONFIDENTIAL**

THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS FACSIMILE IS CONFIDENTIAL AND MAY ALSO CONTAIN PRIVILEGED ATTORNEY-CLIENT INFORMATION OR WORK PRODUCT. THE INFORMATION IS INTENDED ONLY FOR THE USE OF THE INDIVIDUAL OR ENTITY TO WHOM IT IS ADDRESSED. IF YOU ARE NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, OR THE EMPLOYEE OR AGENT RESPONSIBLE TO DELIVER IT TO THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT ANY USE, DISSEMINATION, DISTRIBUTION OR COPYING OF THIS COMMUNICATION IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THE FACSIMILE IN ERROR, PLEASE IMMEDIATELY NOTIFY US BY TELEPHONE, AND RETURN THE ORIGINAL MESSAGE TO US AT THE ADDRESS ABOVE VIA THE U.S. POSTAL SERVICE. THANK YOU.

IF YOU DO NOT RECEIVE ALL OF THE PAGES, PLEASE CALL

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER
JUN 1 2007

In re the Application of:

CHUNG, Keicy K.

Serial No.: 10/017,923

Filed: October 30, 2001

For: READ-ONLY STORAGE DEVICE
HAVING NETWORK INTERFACE, A
SYSTEM INCLUDING THE
DEVICE, AND A METHOD OF
DISTRIBUTING FILES OVER A
NETWORK

Group Art Unit: 2143

Examiner: Refai, Ramsey

Sent via Facsimile to:
571.273.8300

AGENDA FOR APPLICANT INITIATED INTERVIEW

Dear Examiner Refai:

I am writing to confirm the in-person interview scheduled for June 18, 2007, at 3 PM concerning the above-encaptioned patent application, and to provide an agenda for the meeting.

The following individuals, exclusive of USPTO personnel, are anticipated to be in attendance:

David M. Morse, Applicant's Representative

Keicy K. Chung, Applicant

At the interview, we anticipate showing, but not demonstrating, an exemplar of a storage device which is constructed in accordance with the recitations of claim 1. We also anticipate presenting the arguments identified below with the aid of a white board for illustration purposes.

Patent Docket:
70049-00002RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTERIssues For Discussion

JUN 1 2007

1) The rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 132(a) of the amendment to paragraphs [0025] and [0028] for the addition of new matter will be raised. Documentation will be presented to establish that the term "direct attached storage" was a term of art and that each of the protocols listed in the present application, namely the IDE, ATA, SCSI, and IEEE 1394 protocols, were inherently known as forms of "direct attached storage" at the time the present application was filed.

 Discussed Agreed Not Agreed

2) The rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) of claim 7 over U.S. Patent No. 6,732,237 to Jacobs et al. will be raised. This discussion will center around the differences between networked-based caching systems and caching that is performed at the local storage level according to claim 7. The Jacobs et al. reference discloses the former, while the present application is directed toward the latter. We will discuss the differences between the Jacobs et al. system and the system of claim 7, focusing on why they are sufficiently different to warrant allowance of claim 7.

 Discussed Agreed Not Agreed

3) The rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) of claims 1, 4, 10, 11, and 14 over the Jacobs et al. reference will be raised to the extent any issues remain with these claims following the discussion of items 1 and 2 above. In order to highlight the differences between the combinations cited in the rejections and the claimed subject matter, and particularly the teachings of the Jacobs et al. reference, the commercial advantages presented by the claimed subject matter will be presented in the areas of

Patent Docket:
70049-00002

computer boot processes and file protection systems, both of which are addressed in the specification as filed. It is anticipated that the commercial advantages will illustrate that the rejected claims are directed toward patentably distinct subject matter as compared to the cited combinations.

 Discussed Agreed Not Agreed

Respectfully submitted,

CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ LLP

By: _____

David M. Morse
Reg. No. 50,505

DATE: June 1, 2007

Connolly Bove Lodge & Hutz LLP
Wells Fargo Center
South Tower, Suite 3150
355 South Grand Avenue
Los Angeles, California 90071
(213) 787-2500